

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/893,228	06/27/2001	Gal Trifon	65346/JPW/JHB	4919
7	7590 12/01/2006		EXAM	INER
Cooper & Dunham LLP			BEKERMAN, MICHAEL	
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/893,228	TRIFON ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael Bekerman	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICHEVE - Extensions of t after SIX (6) M - If NO period fo - Failure to reply Any reply recei	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY RIS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downward in the mailing date of this communication. It is reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute ived by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ This a 3)□ Since	onsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Section</u> is FINAL . 2b) This this application is in condition for allowald in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of (Claims					
4a) Of 5) ☐ Claim(6) ☑ Claim(7) ☐ Claim((s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application the above claim(s) is/are withdraw (s) is/are allowed. (s) 1-23 is/are rejected. (s) is/are objected to. (s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Pap	pers					
10) The dra Applica Replace	ecification is objected to by the Examine awing(s) filed on is/are: a) accent may not request that any objection to the rement drawing sheet(s) including the correct th or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the lidenation of the lidenation of the lidenation of the drawing (s) is object to be set of the drawing (s) is object of the drawing	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 3	35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice of Dragon Notice of Dragon	erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) isclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to papers filed on 9/18/2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4-14, 17, 18, and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shkedi (U.S. Patent No. 6,973,436). Shkedi teaches a system and method for generating messages to a website browser that includes all of the limitations recited in the above claims.

Regarding claims 1, 8, and 20, Shkedi teaches the indication of a connection of a user to a website, the sending of data required for generating a message, and the generating of a message on the web terminal of the user (Abstract). Shkedi uses the IP address to identify the user (Column 6, Lines 13-25). Data sent to a computer to view messages on web pages (Column 5, Lines 4-7) inherently contain content (the advertisement or message itself) and script for generating the message (the HTML tags of the webpage in which the message is being displayed, which is considered a script).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,228 Page 3

Art Unit: 3622

Regarding claims 2, 4, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 17, 18, and 23, Shkedi teaches the message as being interactive, written in HTML, interstitial, linking (HTML page address pointers), multimedia, DHTML, interactive, entertainment (user could enjoy looking at advertisements), and advertisements.

Regarding claim 5, data must inherently be stored in a computer to be displayed (whether that storage is RAM or cache memory).

Regarding claim 7, the indication of Shkedi is inherently provided by software within the webpage (Abstract).

Regarding claims 11, 12, 21, and 22, Shkedi teaches a web-TV content provider (set-top box) as being a communication node (being on the internet inherently requires a computer of some sort) (Column 4, Lines 12-16).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shkedi (U.S. Patent No. 6,973,436).

Regarding claim 3, Shkedi teaches the message as being interactive, written in HTML, interstitial, linking (HTML page address pointers), multimedia, DHTML, interactive, entertainment (user could enjoy looking at advertisements), and

Application/Control Number: 09/893,228 Page 4

Art Unit: 3622

advertisements. Shkedi does not specify the message as being JavaScript or VBS script. Official notice is taken that JavaScript and Visual Basic Script are both old and well-known in the art of web programming. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to create the message using whatever language with which the programmer is most comfortable.

Regarding claims 15 and 16, Shkedi does not specify the termination of a message. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the user to terminate the advertisement. Otherwise, the advertisement would continue running forever and would use valuable computer resources.

3. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shkedi (U.S. Patent No. 6,973,436) in view of Niu (U.S. Pub No. 2002/0062245).

Regarding claim 19, Shkedi does not specify the generated message as containing chat components. Niu teaches offering promotions over websites using banner advertisements as well as live text chat (Paragraph 0044). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to offer chat components in a generated website message. This would allow for immediate feedback from the user.

Response to Arguments

Examiner has amended the above rejections in view of Applicant's amendments. The new changes that reflect Applicants amendments are underlined. As explained above, Shkedi is still a proper 102(e) reference.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bekerman whose telephone number is (571) 272-3256. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 - 4:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,228 Page 6

Art Unit: 3622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric W. Stamber can be reached on (571) 272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MB

JEFFREY D. CARLSON

MM